The Wagner Review 2018 Public Policy Competition

SCORING RUBRIC

Proposal Title	Total Points

Point Scale: Strongly Agree- 5; Agree- 4; Neither Agree nor Disagree- 3; Disagree- 2; Strongly Disagree- 1

Subject	Criteria	Raw Score	Weight	Weighted Score
	Policy Memo			
Comprehensiveness	Includes the following elements: problem definition, recommendations, stakeholder analysis, resources needed, and alternatives. Includes a highly workable plan to implement the proposal given the policy context.		x3	
Social Value	Identifies clear social value and conveys urgency.		x 3	
Feasibility	Proposal has a path to implementation that considers constraints like: political environment, economic feasibility, sustainability, personnel or other bureaucratic resources, business environment, etc.		x3	
Clarity and Format	The memo is written with excellent grammar, organization, and style. The memo does not exceed 2,000 words. (If word limit is exceeded, award no more than 3 points.)		x 3	
Evidence	Proposal and analysis is backed by evidence that is properly cited.		x 3	
	Subtota	I – Policy	y Proposal	
	PRESENTATION			
Delivery	The presenter is clear, professional, persuasive and engaging, and keeps to the alloted time.		x 1	
Presence	The presenter is prepared and the presentation is rehearsed and captivating.		x 1	
Content	The memo content is presented in a clear and logical manner. If a visual presentation is used, the graphics are appropriate and easy to understand.		x 1	
	Subto	otal - Pre	esentation	

Feedback/Comments				
Enter feedback/comments here:				
Judge's Information				
Name (Print):				
Name (Signature):				